

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE, INDUSTRY AND COMPETITION

NOTICE 1164 OF 2022

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION

NOTICE OF INITIATION OF INVESTIGATION INTO THE ALLEGED DUMPING OF WINDSCREENS FOR VEHICLES CLASSIFIABLE UNDER TARIFF SUBHEADING 7007.21.20 ORIGINATING IN OR IMPORTED FROM THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA ("CHINA") TO BE USED IN THE SOUTHERN AFRICAN CUSTOMS UNION ("SACU") MARKET AS REPLACEMENT GLASS IN THE AFTERMARKET ("ARG")

Shatterprufe, a division of PG Group (Proprietary) Limited ("the Applicant") submitted an application to the Commission alleging that windscreens for vehicles to be used in the SACU market as replacement glass in the aftermarket ("ARG") originating in or imported from China is being dumped on the SACU market, causing material injury and a threat of material injury to the SACU industry concerned.

THE APPLICANT

The application was lodged by Shatterprufe, a division of PG Group (Proprietary) Limited ("the Applicant"). The Applicant alleges that the dumped product is causing material injury and that a threat of material injury exists. The Applicant submitted sufficient evidence and established a *prima facie* case to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable conclusion that an investigation should be initiated on the basis of dumping, material injury; threat of material injury and causality.

THE PRODUCT

The product allegedly being dumped is windscreens for vehicles, to be used in the SACU ARG market, classified under tariff subheading 7007.21.20 originating in or imported from China.

THE ALLEGATION OF DUMPING

The allegation of dumping is based on the comparison between the normal value in China and the export price from China respectively.

The weighted average normal value for China was determined based on a price quotation of windscreens for vehicles in China's domestic ARG market. The weighted average export price was determined based on import statistics from the South African Revenue Services ("SARS"). The weighted average dumping margin was calculated taking the adjustment claimed for inland transportation cost on export prices into account. On this basis, the Commission found that there was *prima facie* proof of dumping of the subject product from China. The dumping margin was calculated to be 10,69%.

THE ALLEGATION OF MATERIAL INJURY; THREAT OF MATERIAL INJURY AND CAUSAL LINK

The Applicant submitted evidence showing price undercutting, price depression, price suppression, decline in sales volumes, market share, net profit, productivity, return on investment, capacity utilisation, cash flow, growth and employment from 2019 to 2021.

The Applicant alleged that a threat of material injury exist and submitted evidence with regards to the freely disposable capacity of the exporters, significant increase of the alleged dumped imports , the state of the economy in China and prices of imports which will have a significant depressing or suppressing effect on domestic prices .

On this basis the Commission found that there was *prima facie* proof of material injury; a threat of material injury and causal link.

PERIOD OF INVESTIGATION

The period of investigation for purposes of determining the dumping margin in the exporting country of origin will be from 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2021. The period of investigation for purposes of determining material injury will be from 1 January 2019 to 31 December 2021.

PROCEDURAL FRAMEWORK

Having decided that there is sufficient evidence and a *prima facie* case to justify the initiation of an investigation, the Commission has begun an investigation in terms of section 16 of the International Trade Administration Act, 2002 (the ITA Act). The Commission will conduct its investigation in accordance with the relevant sections of the ITA Act and the Anti-Dumping Regulations of the International Trade Administration Commission of South Africa (ADR). Both the ITA Act and the ADR are available on the Commission's website (www.itac.org.za) or from the Trade Remedies section, on request.

In order to obtain the information it deems necessary for its investigation, the Commission will send non-confidential versions of the application and questionnaires to all known importers and exporters and known representative associations. The trade representative of the exporting country has also been notified. Importers, exporters and other interested parties are invited to contact the Commission as soon as possible in order to determine whether they have been listed and were furnished with the relevant documentation. If not, they should immediately ensure that they are sent copies. The questionnaire has to be completed and any other representations must be made within the time limit set out below.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Please note that if any information is considered to be confidential then a non-confidential version of the information must be submitted for the public file, simultaneously with the confidential version. In submitting a non-confidential version the following rules are strictly applicable and parties must indicate:

- where confidential information has been omitted and the nature of such information;
- reasons for such confidentiality;
- a summary of the confidential information which permits a reasonable understanding of the substance of the confidential information; and
- In exceptional cases, where information is not susceptible to summary, a sworn affidavit setting out the reasons why it is impossible to comply should be provided.

A sworn affidavit is defined as a written sworn statement of fact voluntarily made by an affiant or deponent under an oath or affirmation administered by a person authorized to do so by law. Such statement is witnessed as to the authenticity of the affiant's signature by a taker of oaths, such as a notary public or commissioner of oaths. An affidavit is a type of verified statement or showing, or in other words, it contains verification, meaning it is under oath or penalty of perjury and this serves as evidence to its veracity and is required for court proceedings.

This rule applies to all parties and to all correspondence with and submissions to the Commission, which unless indicated to be confidential and filed together with a non-confidential version, will be placed on the public file and be made available to other interested parties.

If a party considers that any document of another party, on which that party is submitting representations, does not comply with the above rules and that such deficiency affects that party's ability to make meaningful representations, the details of the deficiency and the reasons why that party's rights are so affected must be submitted to the Commission in writing forthwith (and at the latest 14 days prior to the date on which that party's submission is due). Failure to do so timeously will seriously hamper the proper administration of the investigation, and such party will not be able to subsequently claim an inability to make meaningful representations on the basis of the failure of such other party to meet the requirements.

Subsection 33(1) of the ITA Act provides that any person claiming confidentiality of information should identify whether such information is *confidential by nature* or is *otherwise confidential* and, any such claims must be supported by a written statement, in each case, setting out how the information satisfies the requirements of the claim to confidentiality. In the alternative, a sworn statement should be made setting out reasons why it is impossible to comply with these requirements.

Section 2.3 of the ADR provides as follows:

“The following list indicates “information that is by nature confidential” as per section 33(1) (a) of the Main Act, read with section 36 of the Promotion of Access to Information Act (Act 2 of 2000):

- (a) management accounts;*
- (b) financial accounts of a private company;*
- (c) actual and individual sales prices;*
- (d) actual costs, including cost of production and importation cost;*
- (e) actual sales volumes;*
- (f) individual sales prices;*
- (g) information, the release of which could have serious consequences for the person that provided such information; and*
- (h) information that would be of significant competitive advantage to a competitor;*

Provided that a party submitting such information indicates it to be confidential.”

ADDRESS

The response to the questionnaire and any information regarding this matter and any arguments concerning the allegation of dumping and the resulting material injury and threat of material injury must be submitted in writing to the following address:

Physical address

Senior Manager: Trade Remedies I
International Trade Administration Commission

Postal address

Senior Manager: Trade Remedies I
Private Bag X753

which should be requested at the time of the submission. It should be noted that unavailability of, or inconvenience to consultants will not be considered to be good cause.

Parties should also ensure when they engage consultants that they will be available at the requisite times, to ensure compliance with the above time frames. Parties should also ensure that all the information requested in the applicable questionnaire is provided in the specified detail and format. The questionnaires are designed to ensure that the Commission is provided with all the information required to make a determination in accordance with the rules of the ADR. The Commission may therefore refuse to verify information that is incomplete or does not comply with the format in the questionnaire, unless the Commission has agreed in writing to a deviation from the required format. A failure to submit an adequate non-confidential version of the response that complies with the rules set out above under the heading *Confidential Information* will be regarded as an incomplete submission.

Parties, who experience difficulty in furnishing the information required, or submitting in the format required, are therefore urged to make written applications to the Commission at an early stage for permission to deviate from the questionnaire or provide the information in an alternative format that can satisfy the Commission's requirements. The Commission will give due consideration to such a request on good cause shown.

Any interested party may request an oral hearing at any stage of the investigation in accordance with Section 5 of the ADR, provided that the party indicates reasons for not relying on written submission only. The Commission may refuse an oral hearing if granting such hearing will unduly delay the finalisation of a determination.

Parties requesting an oral hearing shall provide the Commission with a detailed agenda for, and a detailed version, including a non-confidential version, of the information to be discussed at the oral hearing at the time of the request.

If the required information and arguments are not received in a satisfactory form within the

time limit specified above, or if verification of the information cannot take place, the Commission may disregard the information submitted and make a finding on the basis of the facts available to it.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact the following investigating officers: Ms Regina Peta at RPeta@itac.org.za and Ms Charity Mudzwiri at CRamaposa@itac.org.za.